

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/002,265	12/31/97	VAN DER WAL		G I	SRC-0005/SA	
		LM61/1202	_	EXAMINER		
'WILLIAM J BL	JRKE		,	BROWN, R		
SARNOFF CORF	PORATION					
PATENT OPERA	ATIONS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CN 5300				2711	17)	
PRINCETON NJ 08543-5300		2)			10	
				DATE MAILED:	12/02/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/002,265

Applicant(s)

Van Der Wal

Examiner

Reuben M. Brown

Group Art Unit 2711



ТНІ	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
Δn	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 5, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, tis NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments to claim 1, further reciting a "plurality of parallel pipelined video
	hardware components" & a global video bus which "establishes a direct connection between the processing
	module and said at least one video processing module" present new issues which would require further
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	the Examiner in the final rejection.
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected: 1-30
	• • •
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)  Author Full
X	Other search and/or consideration. Applicant's proposed amendments to claim 20, at  least requiring "passing said configuration data directly to each detected video ANDREW I. FAILE  processing module" represent a new issue which would require further search and/6UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE consideration.  GROUP 2700